## Kluwer Arbitration Blog

## Tips from the Top: Young ICCA interviews Augustin Barrier, Lalive

Young ICCA · Sunday, March 18th, 2018 · Young ICCA



1. What drew you to the world of International Arbitration?

I never had an epiphany regarding International Arbitration. I was drawn to it one small touch at a time, during internships. It started with discussing the field with fellow interns. I was from time to time asked to assist briefly on arbitration cases during a more intense period where the team was not sufficient to deal with the existing workload. These experiences progressively resulted in a certain attraction to the field.

2. When did you start laying the groundwork for a career in International Arbitration? (e.g., was it while in law school, during a moot court, during your career or placed on a case within your firm)

I have been playing hide and seek with International Arbitration for a while. Although familiar with arbitration thanks to my studies, I was not particularly interested in the field, from an academic point of view. If I had to pick a specific time when I really started thinking about a career in International Arbitration, it would be a course, in which the professor assigned us on a specific case, which we would have to summarise for the class and eventually defend one of the parties' position. I was randomly assigned to an ICC arbitration case. While reviewing the materials and getting familiar with the facts and the procedure for the presentation, I felt like this was the type of work I would gladly be doing.

3. What kind of groundwork did you do to set yourself up? (e.g., what steps did you take to enter the field?)

As my appetite for the field grew over time, I started applying for intern positions focusing on international dispute settlement, including international litigation and arbitration. I also attended many conferences available for young practitioners, in order to meet more experienced players and discuss their experience.

4. Describe a pivotal moment in your career in arbitration and how did that affect your career (e.g., an opportunity to work with a prominent arbitrator/on a pioneering case?)

I remember a case, which started as a regular construction case, in which we represented the claimant against a State party in relation to a medium-size housing project. The parties had already exchanged a significant amount of correspondence, which made their respective positions rather transparent. The case appeared straightforward. However, during the process of appointing the arbitral tribunal, the respondent suddenly decided to use all guerrilla tactics in the book in order to derail the arbitration. On the same day, the local representatives of our client were arrested and deported, the State called all the performance bonds, which triggered cascading calls of first demand bank guarantees in several countries, and initiated criminal proceedings for alleged fraud in no less than three jurisdictions and a commercial case before its own courts.

Our client was obviously shocked and asked us to react on all fronts as swiftly as possible. In the blink of an eye, the case had transitioned from a solid monolithic dispute to a myriad of smaller cases in multiple jurisdictions, which we had to supervise and keep coherent, while trying to expedite the appointment process in the main arbitration case. After several months of efforts, we managed to get a tribunal appointed as well as emergency measures that forced the other side to put a halt to the various proceedings it had initiated. The case then moved on peacefully as initially anticipated. This thrilling episode made me realise that, not only is predictability alien to International Arbitration, but also that, to fully support and defend a client, an arbitration practitioner must be ready to wear as many different hats as circumstances dictate, simply because no one else will.

5. If we look at arbitration as a battlefield, what are the three metaphorical weapons any lawyer needs, and why?

Always simultaneously having one eye for the overall battle plan and one for the details of the operations, as well as an intact intellectual curiosity. Regardless of seniority, it is necessary to know the overall strategy of the case, even when assigned tasks that seem small and limited in scope. To make even the simplest tasks meaningful, one needs to know where they fit in the grand scheme of things. Attention to detail is essential and can make a huge difference when it comes to winning very complex cases. Finally, curiosity is, in my view, what makes a good practitioner. Being willing and ready to enter a whole new field for each new case is key. It is tempting to seek assignments on lines of similar cases, in which one has gained expertise. However, getting acquainted with new sets of facts, substantive laws and industries is what makes International Arbitration truly challenging and unique.

6. Upon reflection, are there any decisions you made that you feel aspiring arbitration practitioners could learn from?

When I started working, I managed to share my time between arbitration and domestic litigation

for almost three years. Although I ended up focusing on International Arbitration, I do not regret having made this initial decision and the experience gained as a result. One may sometimes get the impression that International Arbitration exists and may be studied and practiced in a vacuum. However, the fundamental principles, which guide arbitration proceedings are similar as those guiding domestic proceedings. The procedural tools that the parties are free to devise and agree on in international arbitration, which make the field so rich and diverse, frequently come from domestic litigation. Having practised commercial and criminal litigation on the side, an arbitration practitioner is bound to benefit from decades of procedural creativity and guidance developed in a specific legal system.

7. Is there any additional candid advice or insight that you can offer to assist those who are entering the field, deciding whether to enter the field, or already are in the field of International Arbitration?

Knowing from the beginning that you are attracted to International Arbitration is a considerable strength. Students can plan ahead and shape their academic curriculum in accordance with their goal. However, some can be tempted to cut corners and neglect an essential feature of what makes a good arbitration practitioner: actual legal skills. Having an in-depth knowledge of International Arbitration as a field is of course very important. However, it is necessary to have legal instincts that one can only develop on the basis of a thorough knowledge of a domestic legal system. Things like statute of limitations, mandatory rules, defective consent are not identical throughout legal systems, but often share similar features. The instincts and reflexes gained by studying your own legal system thus generally pay off, even when you are confronted with an applicable law that you have neither studied, nor practiced.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates on the Kluwer Arbitration Blog, please subscribe here.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Arbitration Blog, please subscribe here. To submit a proposal for a blog post, please consult our Editorial Guidelines.

## **Profile Navigator and Relationship Indicator**

Includes 7,300+ profiles of arbitrators, expert witnesses, counsels & 13,500+ relationships to uncover potential conflicts of interest.

Learn how **Kluwer Arbitration** can support you.

## Learn more about the newly-updated Profile Navigator and Relationship Indicator





This entry was posted on Sunday, March 18th, 2018 at 9:18 am and is filed under Arbitration, Interview

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. You can leave a response, or trackback from your own site.