## **Kluwer Arbitration Blog**

## The Contents of the Yearbook Commercial Arbitration, Volume XLVIII (2023), Upload 1

Stephan Schill (General Editor, ICCA Publications; Amsterdam Center for International Law, University of Amsterdam) · Wednesday, February 22nd, 2023

Subscribers to KluwerArbitration enjoy access to the ICCA Yearbook Commercial Arbitration.

The first upload of materials for the 2023 volume of ICCA's Yearbook Commercial Arbitration is now available on the KluwerArbitration database, with 27 court decisions from 12 countries. Here are some of the highlights.

The European Court of Human Rights in *BTS Holding v. the Slovak Republic* found that the refusal by the Slovakian courts to enforce an ICC award rendered in Paris amounted to a breach of Art. 1 of Protocol No. 1 to the European Convention on Human Rights. In reaching this conclusion, it held that the award – which was final and binding and had not been challenged at the seat  $\neg$ – amounted to a "possession" within the meaning of Art. 1 of Protocol No. 1, and that refusal by the Slovak courts to enforce it amounted to an unlawful and disproportionate interference with that possession.

The upload reports on two French decisions in the *Sorelec* case. The Cour de Cassation upheld the decision of the Paris Court of Appeal that had set aside on public policy grounds an ICC partial award recording a settlement agreement, due to the settlement agreement being the result of corruption. The Supreme Court confirmed the Court of Appeal's reasoning that it was entitled to look for evidence of possible corruption in all the facts submitted to it, notwithstanding the reasons given by the arbitral tribunal in respect of those facts and irrespective of whether the corruption objection had been raised in the arbitration.

In two decisions rendered on 1 September 2022, the *Oberlandesgericht* Cologne held that, as a consequence of the 2018 *Achmea* decision of the European Court of Justice, the arbitration provision in Art. 26 of the Energy Charter Treaty did not constitute a valid agreement binding the parties to ICSID arbitration, because of the intra-EU nature of their relationship. Accordingly, it concluded that neither the ICSID arbitration proceedings commenced by Uniper and RWE based on Art. 26 ECT nor any other arbitration proceedings between the parties were admissible.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Arbitration Blog, please subscribe here. To submit a proposal for a blog post, please consult our Editorial Guidelines.

## **Profile Navigator and Relationship Indicator**

Access 17,000+ data-driven profiles of arbitrators, expert witnesses, and counsels, derived from Kluwer Arbitration's comprehensive collection of international cases and awards and appointment data of leading arbitral institutions, to uncover potential conflicts of interest.

## Learn how Kluwer Arbitration can support you.



This entry was posted on Wednesday, February 22nd, 2023 at 8:02 am and is filed under Uncategorized, Yearbook Commercial Arbitration

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. You can leave a response, or trackback from your own site.